

REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

A. Claim Status / Explanation of Amendments

After issuance of an Advisory Action on March 18, 2008, claims 1-21 were pending of which claims 1-4, 6-13, 15-17, and 19-21 were rejected while claims 5, 14, and 21 were objected to and claim 18 is allowed. From among the objected claims, claims 5 and 14 were not rejected, but were merely objected as being dependent on rejected base claims. The amendments submitted in Applicant's reply dated February 14, 2008 were not entered because they allegedly raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search. In particular, the Advisory Action contends that amending claim 21 to depend from claim 18 instead of claim 1 would require further consideration for compliance with 35. U.S.C. § 112. [3/18/08 Advisory Action, p. 2].

Applicant wishes to thank Gregg Cantelmo for the courteous and productive interview conducted on April 17, 2008 with Applicant's representative. During the interview, Applicant discussed the amendments to claims 6, 15, and 21 as submitted in Applicant's reply dated February 14, 2008. The Office indicated that amendments to claims 6 and 15 would be entered, but reaffirmed its position that the requested amendments to claim 21 would not be entered. Accordingly, Applicant has canceled claim 21 and requests entry of the amendments to claims 1, 6, 10, 15, and 19 and cancellation of claims 4-5 and 13-14 as requested in Applicant's reply dated February 14, 2008 and reproduced below.

As to matters of form, claims 6-8 and 15-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite. More specifically, the Office Action contends that the

phrase "output property ... better than..." in claims 6 and 15 is relative and renders the claim indefinite.¹ [11/19/07 Office Action, p. 3]. By this paper, claims 6 and 15 are amended to recite the limitation pertaining to a "storage battery with a low temperature power output which is better than..." Accordingly, claims 6 and 15 as well as dependent claims 7-8 and 16-17 are now respectfully asserted to be in condition for allowance.

As to the merits, claims 1-4 and 19-21 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Japanese Patent Application No. JP 63-091967 to Katsumoto, et al. ("Katsumoto"). [11/19/07 Office Action, p. 4]. Claims 1-3, 9-10, and 19-21 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over French Patent Application No. FR 2813994 to Gerard, et al. ("Gerard") in view of Japanese Patent Application No. JP 11-301285 to Kawamura ("Kawamura") and further in view of Katsumoto. [11/19/07 Office Action, p. 6]. Claims 1-4, 9-13, and 19-21 are rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Gerard in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,293,388 to Young ("Young") and Katsumoto. [11/19/07 Office Action, p. 10].

By this paper, claims 1, 6, 10, 15, and 19 are amended while claims 4-5 and 13-14 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicant reserves the right to pursue canceled claims in a continuing application. Claims 1, 10, and 19 are amended to incorporate the limitations presented in allowed claims 5 and 14. Claims 6 and 15 are amended to depend from claims 1 and 10 instead of canceled claims 5 and 14, respectively.

No new matter will be introduced into this application by entry of these amendments. Entry is respectfully requested as these amendments place the application in condition for allowance and otherwise reduce matters for appeal.

¹ Although the Office Action indicates that the phrase "output property ... better than" is listed as being in claims 5 and 14, Applicant assumes the Office Action is actually referring to claims 6 and 15.

B. Informal Matters

Since independent claims 1, 10, and 19 have been rewritten to include the limitations of allowed claims 5 and 14, the Office Action's rejection of claims 1 and 19 as allegedly being anticipated by Katsumoto and of claims 1, 10, and 19 as allegedly being obvious over Gerard in view of Kawamura or Young and further in view of Katsumoto is respectfully asserted to be moot. Since claims 2-3, 9, 11-13, and 20 depend either directly or indirectly from claims 1, 10, and 19, respectively, they are also asserted to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant submits that all of the pending claims are now allowable and early, favorable action in that regard is respectfully requested.

Applicant has chosen in the interest of expediting prosecution of this patent application to distinguish the cited documents from the pending claims as set forth above. These statements should not be regarded in any way as admissions that the cited documents are, in fact, prior art. Finally, Applicant has not specifically addressed the rejections of the dependent claims. Applicant respectfully submit that the independent claims, from which they depend, are in condition for allowance as set forth above. Accordingly, the dependent claims are also in condition for allowance. Applicant, however, reserves the right to address such rejections of the dependent claims in the future as appropriate.

CONCLUSION

For the above-stated reasons, this application is respectfully asserted to be in condition for allowance. An early and favorable examination on the merits is earnestly solicited. In the event that a telephone conference would facilitate the examination of this application in any way, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

THE COMMISSIONER IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY ADDITIONAL FEES WHICH MAY BE REQUIRED FOR THE TIMELY CONSIDERATION OF THIS AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 AND 1.17, OR CREDIT ANY OVERPAYMENT TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 13-4500, ORDER NO. 5000-5163.

Respectfully submitted,
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: April 17, 2008

By: _____

Sungho Hong

Registration No. 54,571

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, NY 10281-2101
(212) 415-8700 Telephone
(212) 415-8701 Facsimile